UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,750	04/25/2007	Isao Hosoe	48557-0001	2251
	7590 12/22/200 DDLE & REATH (DC)	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE		BROWN, PETER R		
SUITE 1100 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/587,750	HOSOE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Peter R. Brown	3636				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S	Centember 2008					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2008</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims	, , ,					
· · _	Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are pending in the application	un.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>11-30</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
		r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Page 2

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 12-16,18-21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 12 and 23, the recitation that the back support "swings from a first position to a second position", fails to set forth the structure or means by which this movement is accomplished. Is this swinging movement about the first axis? The claim further fails to set forth the function and purpose of such movement, including the rotation about the first axis, in terms of the seat, backrest and the effect of such movements on the chair as a whole, including a description of the first and second positions of the back support. The same applies to claim 13, in terms of the overall effect and positioning of the various backrest positions, and the purpose of such on the chair and effect thereof for the user or occupant.

In claim 14, the phrase "back support and back frame are movably connected at a fixed position of the back frame", is confusing and unclear.

In claim 18, the "third axis" should be positioned relative to the "second axis".

Claim 21 as a whole is confusing and unclear.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3636

Claims11,12,14-21,23-29, so far as definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by de Polo.

Figures 1-4 show structure as claimed, including a chair having a leg 55,57, a supporting body 50 rotatably connected thereto, a rotatable seat 51, a back frame 50d and a rotatable back support 52 which may rotate about an axis perpendicular to the back supporting surface. The rotating axis of the seat is parallel and off-set from that of the supporting surface.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13,22 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over de Polo in view of Etter.

While the curved sides of the seat of de Polo may be construed as part of the sitting surface, and wherein the backrest may be turned to lie perpendicular thereto, the examiner has utilized the teaching of Etter (figs. 1,6,8) teaches the use of a vertically oriented ball and socket arrangement for a head support that provides a great range of movement for allowing the head support to move from a horizontal to vertical position, and which also provides a tightening means therefor.

Art Unit: 3636

In view of this suggestion, to have utilized such a ball-and-socket assembly for that of de Polo, would have been well within the level of skill in the art, thereby allowing the backrest a greater range of movement and allowing a locking of the position of the backrest.

Regarding claims 22 and 30, the use of wheels on chair to provide mobility therefor, is old and well known in the art, and not considered a patentable distinction.

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will

Art Unit: 3636

the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ritter, Whitmyer, Lin, Van Deursen et al, and Atkins show various features of the invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter R. Brown/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3636

prb

Page 6

Art Unit: 3636